

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,918	04/08/2004	Brian C. Case	1-37214	8766
42715 7590 9425/0008 DUNLAP, CODDING & ROGERS, P.C. P.O. BOX 16370			EXAMINER	
			PREBILIC, PAUL B	
OKLAHOMA	CITY, OK 73113		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/820,918 CASE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Paul B. Prebilic 3774 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 March 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-16.30 and 31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-9.12.15.30 and 31 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,10,11,13 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other:

Art Unit: 3774

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 31, 2008 has been entered.

### Election/Restrictions

Claims 4-9, 12, 15, and 30-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions or species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on May 31, 2007.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3, 10, 11, 13, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The new term "non-overlapping" lacks clear original support from the specification. New matter cannot be

Art Unit: 3774

added to an application via 37 CFR 1.114 because it is not permitted by this Rule.

Therefore, the introduction of "non-overlapping" constitutes new matter.

The term "overlap" is defined as "the edge of one thing is over or extends past the edge of another"; MSN Encarta Online Dictionary. Therefore, the serpentine or sinusoidal strut (710) of present Figure 11 could be interpreted as "overlapping." Therefore, the new claim language does not appear to encompass the elected invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3, 10, 11, 13, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The new terminology "non-overlapping" is considered indefinite because the specification does not explain what constitutes a overlapping and a non-overlapping feature with regard to the several embodiments. For this reason, the claim scope is considered unclear and undeterminable.

### Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d) (1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required. As an alternative to the Section 112 rejection above, even if the new term "non-overlapping" could be found to have original support and be definite, it would still lack clear antecedent basis from the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,918 Page 4

Art Unit: 3774

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 10, 11, 13, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pavcnik et al (WO 99/62431). Pavcnik anticipates the claim language where:

- the frame as claimed is the frame (11) of Pavcnik (see Figures 10 to 17); the first portion as claimed is the straight portion of the frame (11), and the second and third portions as claimed are the fillet (42) or curves (63) on either side thereof (see Figure 11); the widths of the second and third portions are taken across the entire corner sections that are clearly greater than the straight sections;
- the graft as claimed is the covering (57); see Figure 15;
- the attachment element as claimed is the suture (50); see page 7, line 30 to page 9, line 7.

Art Unit: 3774

With regard to claims 13 and 14, the Applicants are directed to page 8, lines 7-9 of Pavonik

With regard to claim 16, the angle as claimed can be zero degrees and still fall within the scope of the claims.

Claims 1-3 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chuter et al (US 2003/0176912). Chuter anticipates the claim language where the second portion as claimed is element (57) of Chuter, the first portion as claimed is element (43) that extends to another bulb element (57) constituting the third portion as claimed; see Figure 1P. Sutures (148) surround the strut and go through the graft; see Figure 1X and paragraphs 101 and 102.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 31, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that the new limitation pertaining to a "non-overlapping" second and third portions are not met by Pavcnik. However, the Examiner asserts that Pavcnik provides embodiments where the corners do not overlap any more than the Applicant's corner but the transverse width thereof is clearly greater than that of the straight strut section. For this reason, the claim language is still considered read on by Pavcnik

### Conclusion

Applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure, including the claims (MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06). Due to the procedure outlined in MPEP 2163.06 for interpreting claims, it is noted that other art may be

Art Unit: 3774

applicable under 35 USC 102 of 35 USC 103(a) once the aforementioned issue(s) is/are addressed.

Applicant is respectfully requested to provide a list of all copending applications that set forth similar subject matter to the present claims. A copy of such copending claims is respectfully requested in response to this Office action if the application is not stored in image format (i.e. the IFW system) or published.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Paul B. Prebilic whose telephone number is (571) 272-4758. He can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Paul Prebilic/ Paul Prebilic Primary Examiner Art Unit 3774